Annotation Quality Checking and Its Implications for Design of a Treebank (in Building the Prague Czech-English Dependency Treebank)

Marie Mikulová and Jan Štěpánek Charles University in Prague ÚFAL

Prague Czech-English Dependency Treebank

- Deep syntactic (tectogrammatical) parallel treebank
- Similar to Prague Dependency Treebank 2.0
- Stand-off annotation
- 4 layers (word-form, morphological, analytical, tectogrammatical) – differences
- Wall Street Journal part of the Penn Treebank (49,000 sentences)

elqmax3 – Td329



But the strategy isn't helping much this time.

Tato strategie však tentokrát příliš nepomáhá.

Annotation Procedure

Tectogrammatical layer only 39 attributes (8.42 per node in PDT 2.0) pre-built tree as an input Division into several phases Periodic measurement of inter-annotator agreement Periodic checking of correctness of the annotation

Annotation Quality Checking Usual approach:



9.2 sentences per hour 5 years at a half-time job $\in: 3 \times 5 = 15$

Too slow and too expensive :-(



Annotation Quality Checking (2) PDT 2.0 approach:



Checking of finished data. No parallel data at all.

Mikulová & Štěpánek



Annotation Quality Checking (3)

PCEDT approach:



Mikulová & Štěpánek

Checking Procedures

Invariants, impossible or necessary combinations of the nodes and their attributes Source:

- annotation rules
- annotators' feedback

 generalization of the output of an automatic checking procedure: searching for the same surface coverage with different annotation

Checking Procedures (2)

- Implemented in TrEd (based on Perl) Output table columns:
- procedure name
- type of violation
- last column: position
- Only accurate procedures (exceptions)
- 50 procedures, 103 possible violations
- 5 categories

Checking Procedures – Attribute

Only a single attribute is tested, the structure is ignored.

 Currently, only t_lemma (no other non-structural attribute being annotated)

• Example:

Reasons are given for every change in pregenerated tectogrammatical lemma.

Checking Procedures – Structure

Relation between the governing and dependant node and their attributes

Examples:

- The root's functor must be PRED, DENOM, PARTL, or VOCAT.
- PRED and DENOM are possible only for a root.
- The adnominal attribute (RSTR) can never depend on a verb.
- Every negated verb has a #Neg child.
- #EmpVerb and #EmpNoun are never leaves.

- Coordination

"Effective" dependencies

Examples:

- Every coordination has at least two members.
- Some functors cannot be coordinated together (inner participant (argument) only with an argument of the same sort).



Checking Procedures – Links

Links from the t-layer to the a-layer
Examples:

- For every a-node representing a word (i.e. not punctuation) there must be a link from a t-tree.
- The same a-node can be linked as auxiliary to several t-nodes only if the t-nodes are coordinated, or they or their parents have the same t-lemma, or...

• No links to prepositions from DENOM and VOCAT.

Checking Procedures – Valency

Each verb and deverbative noun is assigned a valency frame.

- Obligatory modifications omitted on the surface must be added to the t-tree.
- Examples:
 - Valency frame is assigned where required.
 - No obligatory modification is missing, no actant is superfluous.
 - "Copied" node has the same valency frame as its original.

wolixhow noitcerno



Impact on the Treebank Design

Checking procedures
Find errors
Reveal vague annotation rules
Appreciation of the annotators

TLT 8, Milan

Evaluation of Annotators

 Average error rate per sentence for each annotator

Ranks remain the same in long-term monitoring

Annotator	Errors / Sentences	Errors per Sentence
ma	3 271 / 6 026	0.54
al	1 214 / 3 213	0.38
iv	2 648 / 8 125	0.33
ji	301 / 1 064	0.28
mi	430 / 1 786	0.24
ka	1 834 / 8 132	0.23
le	373 / 1 903	0.20
ol	1 177 / 6 828	0.17
ALL	12 139 / 39 609	0.31
ORIG	119 090 / 34 862	3.42

TLT 8. Milar

Mikulová & Stěpánek

Refining the Annotation Rules

Example: "Copied" verb has the same valency frame as its original. *Peter gave Mary flowers and [he gave] Jane sweets.*

 Metaphoric or phraseological usage:
 For a conflict, he does not have enough attention nor [he has] stomach.

One meaning split into several valency frames:
 Company A's stock closed mixed and company B's [stock closed] down modestly.

storra nommoo jaolli

Checking Procedure	Occurences Percentage	
valency003_2_PAT_missing	883	7.27
links001_6.1_same_aux	700	5.77
valency003_2_ACT_missing	623	5.13
links001_1.1_no_tnode	438	3.61
valency001_1_no_frame	405	3.34
valency003_4_wrong_aux	387	3.19
structure016_1_no_neg	378	3.11
attribute001_1_t-lemma	352	2.90
structure003_1_fphr_lemma	348	2.87
valency003_1_invalid_lemma	345	2.84

Thank you.

Mikulová & Štěpánek

